

REMARKS

Claims 1-57 are pending. No new matter is added.

The November 5, 2004 Office Action (the “1st Office Action”) noted on page 2 that Goldfarb non-patent literature had not been considered because a copy of the referenced literature was not supplied by Applicant. During the July 12, 2005 interview, the Examiner requested that the Applicant only provide the “relevant” portion of Goldfarb. In response to this request, Applicant submits by separate cover, in the Information Disclosure Statement filed herewith, a portion of Goldfarb. The Applicant does not know that any other portion of Goldfarb is material to patentability of the pending claims.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiners for the interview of July 12, 2005. During the interview, Applicant and his representative, Aldo Noto, discussed the Eddy and Goerz references in detail. Applicant described that neither reference, alone or in combination, disclosed or suggested the step of accessing one or more link relationships stored in the one or more link directories using a unique identifier for a document object.¹ Specifically, Applicant showed that a unique identifier for a document object was not used by either reference, or by any combination of the references, to access link relationships stored in a link directory. Applicant further showed that the relevant paragraphs cited in each of the references did not suggest let alone disclose the claimed accessing step. Applicant hopes this clarifies Applicant’s position stated in Amendment filed April 5, 2005 in response to the 1st Office Action.

Other art and concepts and methods which were not of record were discussed and compared to claims during interview. Applicant explained how none of the discussed art disclosed or suggested the above-recited step of accessing.

Based on the above, and Applicant’s arguments set forth in the Amendment filed April 5, 2005 (hereby incorporated by reference and maintained), Applicant has overcome the prior art of record. Applicant respectfully requests that allowance of all pending claims or a new search and issuance of a new, non-final office action.

CONCLUSION

¹ Likewise, the cited references, alone or in combination, do not disclose or suggest one or more servers that store the link relationships created by the client devices, allow access to one or more stored link relationships using a unique identifier for a document object and transmit one or more link relationships and link references to the client devices.

In view of the above remarks, Applicants respectfully assert that the application is in condition for allowance. Prompt examination and allowance of claims 1-57 is respectfully requested. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Date: August 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Sean S. Wooden, Reg. No.: 43,997

Andrews Kurth LLP

1701 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.

Suite 300

Washington, DC 20006

Tel. (202) 662-2738

Fax (202) 662-2739